

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Redetermination of A303 Stonehenge scheme
Date: 20 March 2022 23:45:33

Here are my comments relating to the threat posed to this World Heritage site.

- a) The Secretary of State has already found that the schemes impact would be 'severely adverse' to this World Heritage Site.
- b) Assessed alternative routes would be less damaging to this site. For example, a southern bypass would be cheaper, alternatively a longer tunnel could be used to protect the site.
- c) There are alternatives to the engineering solutions proposed which have not been fully explored. These include reducing road traffic in the vicinity of Stonehenge and developing a more complete plan for access to the South West.
- d) There has been no updated construction estimate of the costs, which as public works have a notorious history of being underestimated and no updated carbon assessment of this project or the environmental costs of it, something which was of prime importance in my own previous employment in world class petrochemical construction.
- e) The project as proposed takes no allowance of the major concern for people today of climate change and runs contrary to the provisions of the Environment Act of 2021 and its concerns regarding nature recovery.

Martin A. Johncock